Civil Action	No. 1:18-cv-14861-RMB-AMD:	Fr: Dana T. Brauns Kill sp#234594 # 581 # 7714711	
To: marvi	reeman,	South Woods State Prison	
	forney General	#215 * Burlington Rd. So.	
A	rsey. R. I. Hughes	Bridgeton, New Jersey. 0831	
	plex, #25" Market Street,	RECEIVED IN CHAMBERS OF	
P.O. B'ox* 1	12th, Trenton, New Jersey.	CHAMBERS OF	
	08625-0112	FEB 18 2020	
		RENÉE MARIE BUMB, U.S.D.J.	
United States District Court			
form of to be offerful expression or may me assembles some principles.	For The District Court	of New Jersey	
Hartenhaldrade, myler oxyrenne sy'r byyr mae'r yngraei sy'r byyr air y by'r by'r by'r by'r by'r by'r by'r b	C Vicinage OF		
77-1-1	T. Braunskill, Hor		
vana		norable-Renee Marie Bumb,	
ti mater angan daga saka saka kala dalah daga daga kana yang mengang saka saka saka saka saka saka saka sak	LAND TITT.	6.D.T.	
	V.		
Jeffrey	Robinson, Albert Vanderlin,	ре «простава minimalificaci de подприводен у ин тереверования приводения приводения под подприводения при подприводения подприводен	
Constantine West, R. Byrd and Kyle Brown,			
Defendants.			
Pre-Trid	Pre-Trial Memorandum OF Law In Response To Defendants Affirmative Defense Documents		
	Eloch	·	
The second secon	Cause of Action		
	AMOSE OI MENTONI		
(1) On dute	of November 29th 2017, at appr	roximately 9:00Am = 9:00 Am	
Plaintiff	Plaintiff was wantenly, maliclously, sadistically and visclously		
assaulted by all Defendants. The defendants whom had kicked			
punched and stamped - the Plaintiff during the "assault" and uncuffer			
at time of physical confrontation and next while plaintiff's cuffed			
behind hi	is back - while plaintift's luying	face down (in his own blood)	
on ground	1. Mureover, plaintiff's "frisk	ed" by the defendant	
Jeffrey	Rubinson	✓	

(20f6)

at the time of attempting to participating in a recreation-movement Cyardy Plaintiff's subjected to being "frisked" by officer Jeffrey Robinson, und this officer's roughly began to "frisk" my person and "nearly" penetrated my "amps-cavity" by pushing his finger against the surface of my amus. Then I'm next being subjected to his "frisking" my genitals, and testacles are squeezed by his hand to cowsing me to feel pain. Thus, officer J. Robinson's whispered into my left-eur, "stating" that's for not being prepared. . how . you like that?!" Next, I existed the unit-lobby to existing the door and walk down 6-steps (concrete) to landing inside of courtyard. He next "stated" " if you don't like it comeback in - - come back up!" My reply's, "you know the way you come down" Next, Defendant I. Rubinson, then called Plaintiff, "Punk mother fucker". Then he taken off his shades (glasses) and he's swiftly and aggressively walking down 6 steps to landing onto countyand-ground-he's "clinching" both of his hands Into a "first" (wearing black leather gloves) and approaches me in an aggressia and offensive mannerism. Thus, defendant's closer to the face of plaintiff and that's the reason that plaintiff perceived an imminent threat exists and plaintiff feared for his surfety and life. Plantiff's actions warranted out of self-defense in defending himself from further inscultive-behaviorism" conducted by this "officer named Jeffrey Robinson

Second Cause Of Action

2) The Defendant is are each being sued in his individual and official expecting and jointly. Defendant ITEF frey Rubinson, is a corrections officer and place of employment at Bayside State Prison of Leesburg. New Itersey. The defendant's own actions were assault and sexual-assault against the Plaintiff, on Novem 29th 2017. The Defendant I. Robinson nearly penetrated plaintiff's amos-cavity by pushing his finger practically into plaintiff's amos/rectum while frisking plaintiff. Next the Defendant I. Robinson's attacking the plaintiff inside of yard recreation-countyand. (Adverse.)

3) The Defendants Albert Vanderlinden, is being seed in his individual and official capacity and jointly. Defendant A. Vanderlinden, is a corrections officer and place

(Cont'd)

of employment at Bayside State Prison of Leesburg, New Tersey.

Defendant A. Vanderlinden, did "assault" the Plaintiff as plaintiff is on the ground laying face-down and handcuffed behind his back This officer A. Vanderlinden, was punching, Kicking and stomping or "plaintiff", and having caused plaintiff numerous injuries suffered (Adverse)

Monstantine West, is being sued in his individual capacity and cointly. Defendant C. West, is a currection officer and place of employment at Bayside State Prison of Leesburg, New Terse This defendant C. West—did "assault" the plaintiff while "cuffed and unouffed" behind his back and laying on the ground of concrete surface, and repeatedly kickell, punched and stomped on the Plaintiff, which lead to plaintiff's injuries suffered.

5) Then Defendant C. West—next all mood atop a "table-stool" and "umped-off" it, coming directly down on "top" of plaintiff s "back" and "hip-side". Thus, defendant's contact and actions were without any penelodyical-interest and goals to resture order and control of the Plaintiff, wholly. The defendant C. West, actions was "excessive-force" and not doneso within "good-faith", and "violated" the "use of excessive-force" very "policy and protocols". (Adverse)

6) W. Hewitt, is being sued in his individual capacity and cointly. Defendant W. Hewitt, is a correction officer at Bayside State Prison of Leesburg, New Jersey. This defendant W. Hewitt, did assault and abuse the Plaintiff—while he's subdued by other officers named in above Civil Action, herein. The defendant W. Hewitt, did Kick, punch and stomp on Plaintiff's "torso" several times, and in daingso caused several injunios to Plaintiff—suffered. (Adverse)

7) R. Byrd-Defendant(s) is being seed in his individual capacity and jointly. Defendant-R. Byrd, is a correction of ficer and place of employment at Bayside State Prison of Leesburg, New Iersey. Defendant R. Byrd, did "assault" the Plaintiff, while hundouffell (and uncuffed) behind his "back" and commenced to Kick,

(40fb)

punch and stomp on the plaintiff's "uper-torse" and lower-backside" several times. Defendant's acting in an unprofer ional manner and inisconduct by his use of excessive-force" and showing a form of "deliberate-indifference"—in the "use of force" and without any 'degree' of penalodyical-interests or "goods" to restore control or order over plaintiffenting (Adverse)

and jointly. Defendant K. Brown, is a correction of ficer and jointly. Defendant K. Brown, is a correction of ficer and place of employment at Boyside State Prison of Lees-bury. New Jersey. The Defendant K. Brown, did "assault" the plain tiff and attacked the plaintiff while handcuffed and i cuffed. Defendant K. Brown, used "excessive-force" after the plaintiff is subdued and then after the plaintiff's cuffe behind his "back" while laying on the concrete-ground on his face and body—defendant's punching, kicking and stamping on plaintiff's "torso" and striking his "fists" into plaintiff head face and neck (repeatedly). The Defendant's actions was unwarranted—in his applying the "use of excessive-force" or "use or force" against the Plaintiff. The Defendant(s) K. Brown own showing of "ill-actions" was doneso within "back-faith", and did indeed "violated" the Plaintiff very Rights. (Adverse)

Relief

ilPlaintiff previously sought informal or formal relief from the appropriate administrative officials regarding the "acts" complained of herein But the Plaintiff's complaints was totally ignored. Plaintiff Seek dumages for what he suffered at the hands" of all officers/officials. Plaintiff seek to be compensated for the physical abuses and the emotional-mental-stress of being assaulted and molested from such a viscious, malicious, sadistic and wanton "ordeal in and of itself, Plaintiff's suffering from this "assault" and has nightmares over and over. Plaintiff's severely "traumatized" as a direct result of such an "assault", wholly.

Case 1:18-cv-14851-RM	B-AMD Document 25 Filed 02/19/20 Page 6 of 7 PageID: 149
,	Discovery
<u>C</u> N	2) At this point, Plaintiff is having difficulty obtaining his Medical Records to provide to defendants with Discoverys.
	Plaintiff does not have access to an expert witness to call at trial or opinion to express. Plaintiff has to rely on himself as a <u>Pro Se</u> (Litigatet) Plaintiff.
	Note: Haines v. Kerner, 404 v.S. 519-21 30 L.Ed 652, 92 S. Ct 594 (1972), pro se complaint is entitled to a close and sympathetic reading. Higgins v. Beyer, 293 F. 3d 683, 688 (3d Cir. 2002) (citing Holly v. Dept. of Veterans Affairs, 165 F. 3d Cir. 1999). The Court will take into account that this Plaintiff is proceeding Pro Se, and will liberally construe the pleadings and will apply the applicable law, "irrespective of whether the Pro Se Litigant has mentioned it by name." Ellis v. Butler, 840 F. 2d 1001, 1003
	(8th Cir. 1989) Gouting Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 520, 92 S. Ct. 594, 30 L. Ed. 2d 652(1972) In conclusion. Plaintiff does pray - that the Court will grant this petition and relief sought.
	Please do show acknowledgement towards this submission of documentation and verification. Thank, you
Ported: Feb.	14th 2020: Yours Respectfully, Mr. Domin T. Braunskill Ma. Alluno T. Braunskill.

BRIDGETON, NJ 05:02

EGAL PLEADINGS

SOUTH WOODS STATE PRISON 215 BURLINGTON ROAD SOUTH Dana T. Braunski

WY TO E

02/15/2020 US POSTAGE \$00C . \$50

ZIP 08302 041M1 1297259

新华

S JERSEY THE PERSON NAMED IN

To: Honorable-Rence M. Bumb, U.S.D.J., Mitchell H. Cohen U.S. Federal Counthouse, Rm. 1050, #1 Tohn F. Gerry Plaza, 4th & Cooper Streets, Com den, New Jersey.

のためないないにある